

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,586  | 10/17/2005  | Ho-yeul Choi         | NEK-0018            | 1511             |
| 23413 7590 05/29/25099<br>CANTOR COLBURN, LLP<br>20 Church Street |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | VETERE, ROBERT A    |                  |
| 22nd Floor<br>Hartford, CT (                                      | 06103       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| manoro, er e  | .0105       |                      | 1792                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 05/29/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553,586 CHOI ET AL.

| 000 4 4 0   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ROBERT VETERE  | 1792  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from | I. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A   | <u>oril 2009</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This  | action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | nce except for formal matters, pro   | secution as to the merits is                          |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> </ol>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.                               |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not receive  | d.  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)  | 5) Notice of Informal P  | atent Application                                     |  |  |  |  |

| Attachanistic   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date                    |  |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)        | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/05.                                | 6) Other:                                |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office                              |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/553,586

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 4/24/09 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 14-17 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/24/2009.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (US 2003/0105222) in light of Izaki et al. (US 3.970.629).

Claims 1-7: Choi teaches a method of making a styrene-butadiene latex for coating paper (Abst.) comprising the steps of: preparing a core of styrene butadiene (¶ 0027) and forming multiple coatings around the core (¶¶ 0028-0029) wherein the core and shell each are formed by emulsion polymerization (see, e.g., ¶ 0023) with a composition comprising 20-55 parts by weight 1.3-butadiene. 45-80 parts of styrene, 1-15 parts of ethylene unsaturated acid monomer, 0.1-20 parts of cyanide vinyl monomer, 0.1-30 parts of a copolymerizable monomer and 0.1-10 parts of a chain transfer agent such as a mercaptan with 6-17 carbon atoms (¶ 0042, 0046, 0049). In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re-Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the compositions claimed by applicant because Choi teaches ranges which encompass these compositions.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,586

Art Unit: 1792

Choi also teaches that the gel content and molecular weight of the latex is adjusted with the chain transfer agent (¶ 0049), but fails to expressly teach that this agent is added singly after the coating steps. Izaki, however, a method of forming a copolymer latex for use as a paper coating (Abst.) wherein mercaptan is used as a chain transfer agent to adjust the molecular weight and gel content of the latex (3:51-4:8). Izaki further teaches that it is known in the art that the chain transfer agent may be added singly as opposed to introducing it with the monomer composition (4:4-8) in order to adjust the molecular eight and gel content of the formed latex shell. With respect to the limitation that the chain transfer agent is added after the step of forming the shell, Izaki further explains that the chain transfer agent is added to the formed copolymer, therefore, it is added after the coating step because the copolymer doesn't form until the coating step has completed (see, e.g., 3:51-4:8). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have introduced the chain transfer agent singly, as taught by Izaki, with the predictable expectation of success because Izaki teaches that the introduction of the chain transfer agent singly is a known and suitable alternative to introducing the chain transfer agent with the monomer composition and further because Izaki teaches that the chain transfer agent is used to adjust the gel content of the formed copolymer.

Claim 8: Choi further teaches that the ethylene unsaturated acid monomer is methacrylic acid (¶ 0045).

Claim 9: Choi further teaches that the cyanide vinyl monomer is acrylonitrile (¶ 0047).

Claim 10: Choi further teaches that the copolymerizable monomer is butylmethacrylate (¶ 0048).

Claim 11: Choi further teaches that the gel content can be optimized based on the composition of the monomers used (¶ 0013). "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have adjusted the gel content of the latex, as taught by Choi, by adjusting the amount of each monomer used in the compositions in order to provide the desired gel content with the predictable expectation of success.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,586

Art Unit: 1792

Claim 12: Choi also teaches that the glass transition temperature of the core and shell latexes are between -20 and 25°C (¶ 0050). In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected a composition for the core which provided a glass transition temperature of -10 to 25°C and similarly selected for the shell a composition which provided a glass transition temperature of -20 to 25°C with the predictable expectation of success.

Claim 13: Choi also teaches that the core diameter is 70 nm (¶ 0057) and the core-shell diameter is 100-150 nm (¶ 0050). "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed particles of 130-150 nm in the method of Choi because Choi teaches that the particles formed may be 100-150 nm.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT VETERE whose telephone number is (571)270-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Application/Control Number: 10/553,586 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert Vetere/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Katherine A. Bareford/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792